UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,596	03/16/2004	Naoto Matono	12553/130	3887	
25693 KENYON & K	7590 03/23/2007 FNYON LLP		EXAMINER		
RIVERPARK '	TOWERS, SUITE 600	:	TUGBANG, ANTHONY D		
333 W. SAN CARLOS ST. SAN JOSE, CA 95110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
57 II ( 100E, C)	• 70 • • 0		3729		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/802,596	MATONO, NAOTO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
A. Dexter Tugbang	3729		

·	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) te with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	, affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fater than SIX MONTHS from the m	ailing date of the final reject	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amore hortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	ount of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the issue of the matter (see NOTE below). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see w);	NOTE below);	
<ul> <li>(c)          \infty They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d)          \infty They present additional claims without canceling a contraction.</li> </ul>	corresponding number of finally		the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	er entry is below or attack	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		///	
•	/	A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner	

Application/Control Number: 10/802,596

Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

## **Attachment to Advisory Action**

In the proposed After Final Amendment (filed on March 13, 2007), the new limitations added to Claim 9 (at line 6), which now presents for the first time, a second and third insulating layer, narrows the scope of the claimed invention to the extent that it would raise new issues requiring further consideration and search.